

EUGENE A. LAURENT	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16-CV-452
MITCH WOODS, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	

<sup>1</sup>The court construes plaintiff's Motion for a Reduction of Fees, Notice of Compliance, and Motion for Leave to Proceed *in forma pauperis* (docket entry nos. 22-24), collectively, as Objections to the Report and Recommendation.

partial filing fee of \$8.16 on January 3, 2017 (docket entry no. 6). Despite ample time to do so, plaintiff has still yet to pay the initial partial filing fee. Although plaintiff filed a Motion for a Reduction of Fees, Notice of Compliance and Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (docket entry nos. 22-24), none of these motions are on the proper inmate application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and none contain a current certified income trust statement demonstrating plaintiff cannot now pay the initial partial filing fee as previously ordered. Plaintiff has had more than enough time to pay the fee and/or provide supporting documentation that he cannot pay the initial partial filing fee. Plaintiff's objections, therefore, are overruled.

ORDER

Accordingly, the objections of plaintiff are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the report of the Magistrate Judge is **PARTIALLY ADOPTED** to the extent it recommends dismissal. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **22** day of **September, 2017**.



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge